WD2239.B490.

1923.

File No. 211-23

Previous References. (Mysore)

Serial Nos. 6-38

Later references.
Mys 211-23-39-42
& 219-27-1-2
158-32-1-18

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 7-38

Part B for August 1925

SUBJECT

Acquisition of certain Survey nos in Imam Neelasandra Village endowed to Sri Dharmaraya Swamy temple, Bangalore by the authorities of C & M. Station, Bangalore

To. Mysore Commr
Govt. Agreeable
Comptr

159-61
& 7.8.25

Records the Govt. Order letter stating that proposals for acquisition of certain survey nos in Imam Neelasandra village endowed to Sri Dharmaraya Swamy temple, Bangalore by the authorities of C & M. Station, Bangalore

Muz 1] 192 7.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

(Muz.)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING

Acquisition of S. nos 7 and 10 7 of Gram Seele Sandra Village endowed to Sri Dharmaraya Swami Temple at Bangalore, for the extension of Kempe Gowda Road channel and Gokalpalyam House scheme.

From The Muzrai Commissioner.

No C. 346 Dated 11. 11 27 Received 17 11 27

Diary No. 681	Enclos. 1 Page	Spare Copies. -	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports that C & M authorities have issued notice under L. A. regn for the acquisition of the land & that compensation as noted in the comm no be claimed and requests that the services of the Govt Advr may be engaged to watch the case in the Civil Court.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The case is posted for hearing to-day and the Muz. Comrs. comm has received yesterday at 5.20 P.M. As there was no time, the Muz. Comr has requested under orders of the members, to instruct the Amildar to move for a

NOTES--First Sheet

WD 0560—GPB—500—9 8 22

Serial No.

Short adjournment, (Vide Do.No. 41 issued yesterday) and a copy thereof was forwarded to the D.G. Comn., Bangalore.

As directed, by the Member on the original communication, Immg. J. no. 211-23 the case is 3

AG 12.11.24 SLG 12.11.24

Re engagement of the Govt. Advocate to argue the interests of the Temple is for orders

12.11.24.

315 12.11

2nd member)

We are seeing Mr. [illegible] today on this file

12/11

The main file has gone to the L.A. dy for Opinion in Connexion with the other Case.

From the limited file it will be seen that the Case has been posted to 26-11-24. The order about the engagement of the Govt Advocate has to be passed [illegible]

For orders

12/11 29/11

NOTE—Contn Sheet

GRS 111 2]

B65WD.0531

File No

of 19

General and Reve
Secretariat

Serial
No

NOTE—Contn Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | 19 23

Serial No.

I have no objection to the Government Advocate being authorised to appear on behalf of the Crown before the Court in the case. I presume this fee will be debited to the Temple.

I will be seen if the Government Advocate is willing to act on behalf of the Crown before the Court in Mysore or whether it is necessary to engage counsel in the case.

Rec'd 5.15 p.m. on 22/11/24

22/11/24

Put up

22/11/24

Dft. submitted.

22.11.24 24/11/24

Put up

24/11/24

Dft approved by the Rev. Secy

To, The Government Advocate

Letter No. 746 dated 24/11/24 on Requests being issued in the case on behalf of the Government of His Highness

Letter issued to the Govt. Advocate. Cir. Subd. & Rev. Secy.

24/11/24

GRS III 2]

B65WD 0531

File No. Za 211 of 19 23

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

Sl No. From the Govt Advocate letter no. 491 dt 26.11.23 states that the cases have been adjourned to the 16th Dec. 1923 and requests that the connected files may be sent up to him for study. The connected files are sent up.

Submit. for orders as to whether the files of this office may be sent to the Govt Advocate.

27.11.23

Certain survey records on the subject since received as per Pharist, are placed in the file

27.11.23

Rev. Secy

What are the rules on the subject? May the files be sent to Advocate

29.11.23

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 211 of 1925

Serial No.

The Govt Advocate who has been asked to conduct the Neelakandra case, wants the Connected Secrett files for his study. Chapter of the Secrett office manual (part of) deals with Inter Dept Correspondence, and there are no Rules on the subject. Under Rule 287 re unoffical reference it is mentioned that "no minute of a members of Govt should be forwarded without his express permission".

Some Collections on the Subject, Contain both office notes and offl. Pro. Stitched together

Submitted

29.11.25

There does not seem to be any objection to send the file to the Advocate who has to argue the Case on our behalf as he may deem it necessary in possession of all facts and the reasons leading to this Case.

29.11.25.

Noted. Secy/ for Secretl—

The file will be sent

29/11

33 1.12

1.12

1.12

NOTL—Contn Sheet

File No. of 19 .

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 211 of 1923.

Serial No	
11.	To, The Govt. Advocate. Letter no. 829 dt 3.12.24. Forwards the files relating to the case.
12.	From, the Inuz. Comr. Letter no. C. 340 dt 2.12.192(3) Forwards copies of award statements received from the Collector, C & M. Station in respect of the acquisition of lands in Neelasandra town village and to Sri Dharmaraya Swamy temple. The Inuz. Comr. states that
A1	award statement no 1358/33-22 is in respect of S. nos. 104, 105, 106, 108, 110 & 112 of Neelasandra, and the amount awarded be accepted under protest & remitted to the Treasury in favor of the institution.
B, C	Re S. no. 111 part Nilasandra and S. no. 107 of Nilasandra that officer reports that amounts awarded have ordered to be kept in under Sec. 31(2) of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No

owing to the dispute as to the apportionment of Compensation between the tenant and the Inamdar, and that further action will be taken after getting the proposals of the Govt. Advocate and Dy. Comr. Bangalore.

13. From the Govt. Advocate, No. 1010 Dy 10.1.25.

Requests the return of the files.

14. From, the Govt. Advr. No. 614 Dy 15.1.1925.

States that the Asst. Comr. has submitted copies of awards in all the 3 (and acquittance) cases in question, and solicits instructions in the matter of the further action to be taken in all the 3 Cases

B, Cf

In the 2 cases in which a reference is made to the Court, the Govt. Advocate states that the Inamdar's pleader will have to be refer

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 19

Serial No

before the 2nd Court, C & M. Station, and requests instructions as to whether objection should be taken to the rate of compensation awarded. He further states that the question will be whether it is necessary to file objections claiming the market value of the lands also, and to ask for a reference to the Civil Court.

Objection has to be taken to rate offered, demanded — Re

A reference has to be asked for — Re

The first Advocate adds that objections to the award if any, have to be filed within 6 weeks from the date of award viz on or before the 29th instant.

Submit for orders

AG 16.1.25 16.1.25

Perusal is invited to page 2 of the letter of the Govt. Advocate, P.W.D. He thinks it almost impossible to contend that the tenants and tenants' ate will have Compensation for occupancy rights should be allowed. But in any case, the

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 211 of 1

Serial No.

two Cases that have been referred from District Court, the Govt Advocate has to file an objection Statement claiming the land as Crown land

16.125

Rev. Secy)

There are 2 points for consideration -1) the adequacy of the price. Regarding this, the claim made has to be pursued in the Civil Court and a reference on this point, has to be asked for. The matter has to be taken up to the Supreme Court by the Civil Court for decision.

2) The question of the remaining - It appears that the Govt has to take action on this question

[illegible]

361/17

I believe there was another case [illegible] Govt's opinion on the decision and [illegible] with this case also [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Asst Secy 10/11 1917

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 211 of 19 / 5

Serial No.

Attention is respectfully invited to Memo. No. 211-23 containing the L. S.'s opinion and the Dewan's order.

Sd/- [illegible]
19.1.25 : 19.1.25

19.1

403/20.1

As regards the amount of the awards I think we may accept his valuation and agree to it.

It is only with regard to the Dodderkudam that the award amounts between the Inamdar (as represented by Govt.) & the tenants that we have to decide about the future course of action to be taken by us.

In the cases of S.Nos 104, 105, 106, 108, 110 & 112 the L.S.A. off has awarded the value of the lands to the tenants on the ground that they were kudiwaram tenants & awarded to the Inamdar only the value of the assessment on the lands with 15% thereof for compensation of the tenants rights, enants. They would...

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. [illegible] of 19[illegible]

Serial No.

[illegible] Entitled to receive
in accordance with the A. Officers award
Burgher, on his other hand, [illegible] no Kadian
remarks has any remarks whatever, the ~~Assistant~~
~~who and of this case remarks which~~
they will ~~[illegible]~~ have no claim to the value
of the lands: and the Inquirer with the Entitled
to receive his amount as at least
of 1. It would appear that ~~the~~ from
Observed by me on the 5th, in one of this ~~[illegible]~~ communication from the D.C. of Kegalle
(79.0) to his collection of statistics he has stated
In current that the remarks in question were Kadian
folio. remarks. If we are to go back on his
24 statement, it is necessary that a ~~[illegible]~~ proper
23 Enquiry should be held by a competent
officer, and of as such Enquiry it is
to evident that his statement made to
D.C. on this letter to his collection of st
has not correct of that. His remarks were
not Kadian remarks, a chief with them
to be taken to file a suit against
his occupancy of in lands for recovery
of the amount received of them on
L.A. office on account

In respect
of Nelsandra [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~
[illegible] value of which has been added

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 41 of 19 27

Serial No.

by the D.A Officer & the Inspector on Court owing to the dispute between the Inamdar & the occupants regarding the tenancy rights if any later, a Judge will have to be made to answer as a Judgment finding as to the occupants of their lands were permanent tenants or only temporary tenants. So that we may pass for the payment of the whole of the amount to the Inamdar the tenancy is not found to be a permanent one.

The D.C may therefore be asked to instruct the D.C to [redacted] has a thorough & searching enquiry [redacted] according to Rules by himself or by [redacted] regarding the tenancy rights of the occupants & to submit a report of the result of the enquiry to Govt.

AV 24/11/25

Read 4.12 pm 25/11

Put up. 26/11

Noted 26/11/25

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No 411 of 19

Serial No

(PW D)

Refee is enclosed to the Govt Advocate's letter in which he says that objection petitions should be filed before the 29/10/1926. As Enquiry will necessarily take some time it is for consideration of the Govt Advocate whether ~~he~~ extension may be granted to file objections, as otherwise they would become time barred

26/10/25

Rev-Secy

5866 26.10.25

II SW

I have no objection to grant extension of time to file objections as requested by Mr 1046 dt 26/10/25. The petitioners should be informed to file objections in respect of their respective valuation

The file may be submitted to Secretary - to the previous papers relating will follow separately

Secy

NOTE—Contn. sheet

S. III-2a]

DEWAN'S MINUTE.

General and Revenue Secretariat — Misc File No. 2/1 — 1923.

Date of submission	Date of Return	Date of issue of orders in the Secretariat	Remarks
27/1/25	28/1/25		

I agree with the Member as regards survey No.104.

As regards the two cases referred to the Court, I presume that the Government Advocate will take further action in the matter of the rate of compensation as well as the interests of the inamdar temple.

Ag. Revenue
28/1
28/1/25

Govt Solicitor.
28-1-25
28/1/25

Secy
28.1.25

Rec Secy Dept for case
Secy
28/1/25

Dept approved by member
Order issue
28/1/25

WD 1938--GPB—500—2-5-23

Serial No. 15.

By the Govt Advocate,
No. 1142 dg 28. 1. 1925.
Indicates the further action to be taken by the Govn in respect of the award for the acquisition of Sawe lands in Wiam Weeda Sawedra Village endowed to Dharmaraya Devale Temple.

A Offr. to the Asst. Govt. Agent directing enquiry regarding the tenancy rights of the occupants of the Lands in question, to submit for appl. or orders.

28.1.25.

30.1.25

2.2.25.

31.1.25

Rec. Secy)

Please put up a
memorandum —
"Read in connection
with Mrs. L. C.
on Mr. Govn
to Govn
Secretariat

Serial No.

Fair comparison now revised.
29.1.25

Fair D/D. Enclosed.
30.1.25.

Revised comparative
30.1.25.

Rev. Secy.
As there is no Kardhan tenancy applic. in respect of the land claim of tenants (one not claimed) the land or land value may be paid in full

30/1

756
30.1.25

30.1.25

Approved by Govt.

31.1.25.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

For Sl. 16 please see below.

Serial No.

17 To the Mang. Commr. No. 1167 dt. 31.1.25.
States that there is no question of Kadim tenancy in this case so the Mysore Lands Rev. Code does not apply to the U.S. Mr. Station, & requests him to instruct the D.C. to have a thorough Enquiry held regarding the permanency or otherwise of the tenancy rights of the occupants & to submit a report to Govt before 15 Feby next.

" Govt Advocate. No. 1168 dt. 31.1.25. Fwd. copy of the above for info.

16. From, The Mang. Comr. Letter no. C. 340 dt 29-1-25. Forwards copy of his Communication to The Govt Advocate calling for his views in the matter of the offer made by the owner of S. No. 107 of Neelasandra (since acquired by C & M. Station authorities) to deposit with Govt a sum of ~~Rs~~ 200/ either in cash or loan Bonds yielding an annual interest of Rs 12/ being the Survey assessment on the said land payable to the inamdar temple for the loss of the inam.

K

The Mang. Comr. has been asked to report in Sl. No. 17 above.

NOTE.—Contd. Sheet

Serial No.

The tenants of the lands in question are permanent tenants or not, and the present offer may be considered after receipt of that report.

Submitted

AB 3.2.1925 BB 3/2/25

3.2.25

Rev. Secy.

Offr. Submitr. as directed by the Secretary

AB 5.2.25 BB 5/2/25 In appl

Rev Secy

Issue

6/2/25

18 To

The Asst Comr., Letter no. 1197 dt 6.2.1926.

Request specific information re S. no. 107 of Kolasandra in question, as to whether the whole amount including the compensation to the inamdar temple, has been ordered to be kept in court owing to dispute between the tenant and the inamdar.

G.R.S. III-21

File No. 211 of 19 23

Land Revenue Secretariat

From, the Govt Advocate

Letter no. 695 dt 5.2.1925.

Reports that the amount offered by m/s Parappa in respect of S. no. 107 vfo. 6 in Sl. 18, is in his opinion far too inadequate

From, the Land Officer,

Dharwar vide no. [illegible] dt [illegible].

the Govt Advocate's reply may be awaited.

Submitted.

6.2.25

6/2/25

Secretariat

6.2.25

Rec. dep.

11

7.2

7.2.25

187

9/2

9

9.2

9.2.25.

G. R. S. III. 2].

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 211 of 1923.

Serial No. 21

From, The Muz Comr

Letter no C 3142 dt 14.12.25

Reports, that the whole amount of Rs 2664-6-0 has deposited in Court on 24th Decr 1924.

Submitted

Sd/ 18.12.25 Sd/ 19.12.25

Sd/ 19.12.25

Rec-ded/ The other moiety of S.No 107 Chandr- has accepted - in the agree- ment where now made by the M.C. as it is less than the Amount given in the Award

Sd/ 19.12.25.

455/ 19

First Mr

Before deciding whether the offer may be accepted or not, it is necessary to know what are the remaining rights (if any) in the property (S.No 107), & whether a report has already been called for on this point. If so, the report may be awaited & the Enquiry and the

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 211 of 1923.

Serial No.

The Muz Comr's report in the matter of the tenancy rights has been called for in Sl 14

In his Comn (no. C. 340 of 14/2/25 received to-day, the Muz Comr states that he is awaiting the receipt of the Bangalore Dy Comr's report on the subject, and promises to submit it soon after its receipt.

May the further report promised, be awaited?

Submitted

20.2.25

23/2/25

Remind a/w

23/2/25

In his Comn etc.

The Muz. Comr states that the Dy. Comr wants time till the end of March to submit a report on the matter.

Submitted

23/2/25

23/2/25

for orders

23.2.25

(Sri Menon)

Report may be awaited

23/2/25

NOTE—Contn. sheet

626/24

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8.22

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 211 of 1923.

Serial No. 22

From, the Muz. Comr.

Memo dd 3/3/1925.

Forwards a copy of his Communication requesting the Govt Advocate to appear before the Dist Judge's Court, C & M. Station, Bungalows, on the 13th March 1925, and urge the claim of the institution re S. nos. 107 and 111 of Neelagandra Village - endowed to Dharmaraja Swamy - Jyotsmati - right.

m
5.3.1925: JM
5/3/25

Yes is written to the letter to the Govt Advocate dated 28/1/25 (flagged A), in which he has asked to obtain an adjournment so as to enable Govt to obtain the report of the Dy Comr about the nature of the tenancies of the 2 lots. The Dc's report has not been received & further the Muz Court reports that the D.C. wanted time till the end of the month (Feb) It seems desirable, that in view of the Muz Court's letter to him, to ask the Govt Advocate to ask for an adjournment - on the 13th March to which the case has been fixed - till about the middle of April

Yes

Rec - Ltr

19/3 19/3 Done

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561 - GPI 3750 - 16 22 6

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 21 of 1923

Serial No.	
	D/A - Submit MJ 9.3.25. SD 9.3.25 Done W 9.3.25
23	To the Govt Advocate in Mysore. No. 1322 dd. 11.3.25. Invite reg.d to this office letter of 28th Jan.y 1925 & request him to ask for an adjournment till about the middle of April 1925. " Mysore Govt. No. No. 1322 dd. 11.3.25. Fwd. copy of the above with reg.d to his letter of 3rd March 1925 and requests him to submit his report not later than 5th April next.
Sun 12/25 24	From, The Inspr. Genl. Police No. C. 340 Dy. 20 3/1925. Forward copy of letter from the Govt Advocate and request orders authorizing the Govt Advocate to appear in the said cases and take all necessary action. The Govt Advocate requests formal orders of Govt. authorizing him to appear in the said cases and states that he will appear in Court and take all necessary steps in the case and adds that the cases stand

Serial No

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Serial No.	
	posted to the 24th inst It is presumed that the two Vakalats have been signed by the Inuzzal Court and returned to the Govt Advocate. Dft. S.O. Sanctioning is sent as AVS 26/7/25 directed by the Sec 28/3/25 19/7/25 Dmp
25	To the Inuzzal Court Colombo with Advocate's Proxy to be handed over Accordingly Proxy duly executed by the Govt Agent to appear on the 28th Inst Court, & to state that they are in respect of the action Nadda Siriadasa et al V Govt Agent that the Govt Agent has no objection to the Petitioners & the Petitioners Documents being given
(26)	From the Govt Agent Kegalle

Serial No.

States that the L. A. cases are posted to the 4th April 25 and that our objections will have to be filed that day, and requests necessary instructions in the matter.

The Bangalore Dy. Comr's report about the nature of the tenancy of the ryots of the temple lands acquired is awaited, and the last date for the Asst. Comr's report is the 5th instant. (O.M. No. 1322 Dy 11. 3. 1925). The Govt. Advocate has already been requested to move for an adjournment till the end of the middle of April 1925, so that there may be sufficient time for Govt. orders being passed on the Dy. Comr's report.

May the Govt. Advocate's attention be invited to this letter, and that officer requested to obtain an adjt.?

Submit. for orders

A copy of this G.A's letter is understood to have been sent to the M.C also.

Yr. Dy
3/4/25

Yr. Dy
3/4/25

Yr. Dy
3/4/25

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

mappe

3 u/s

Rec-dep/ for perusal upon return

4

3/u

18/11/25

Dy 4 1/11/25

(27) To, the Govt Advocate, Letter no. 1446 dt 4-4-1925.

Requests him to obtain adjournment till about the middle of this month, so as to enable Govt to ascertain the nature of the tenancy of the rights of the temple lands acquired by Co Station authorities

J. M. Diaz - Comm. memo

4-4-1925 - Forwards copy above

(28). To, the Diaz. Comr Letter no. C. 340 dt 6-4-1925

Forwards copy of his letter to the Govt Advocate requesting that in the circumstances explained by the Dy. Comr, a further adjournment may be obtained.

Dy K

The Dy. Comr wants the time till the 20th of this month to submit his report.

Submit. for inst.s

AV 8.4.25

8/4/25

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Serial No.

The report cannot reach the office before the Enquiries Branch. The Government has already been asked to table an adjournment. His reply with be awaited

Rec'd

8 4 25

Rec'd S.A

Rec'd

Rec'd

To the Legal Advocate. No. 1488 dt. 11. 4. 25.

Sender refers to the Magistrate's letter of 8th April 25 addressed to him and requests to be informed whether an adjournment has been obtained and if so to what date

30

Rec'd 15/4

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 211 of 1923.

Serial No	
31.	From, the Muz Comr Letter no C. 3400 dt 14.4.26. Submits further report in the matter. Dy. Comr states that as the tenants have not produced any evidence to prove their title to the lands, they are not entitled to be treated as permanent tenants. The Muz. Comr states that as the matter is now before the Dist Judge, ct in Station, the entire record & subms by him may be forwarded to the Govt Advocate with such instructions as Govt may think necessary. In the matter of the conflicting statements of the Dy. Comr — viz. the Kadian tenancy, the Muz. Comr promises & submit the further report
7	

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB. 3750—1 8 22

Serial No

of the Dy. Comr in due course. The Govt Advocate has not intimated the date of hearing.

Submitted for orders.

AN 16/4/23

To 6

The Enquiry held by Mr S does not help us much. He has not done anything beyond issuing a notice to the tenants and recording the statements of such of them as appeared before him. He has not given us any positive evidence that the tenants are not Kadim tenants. Nevertheless, objection may be put forward on the ground at least that the Mysore Land Revenue Code is not applicable to Coorg & that Bombay Act I of 1865 which is admittedly applicable to Sirsi taluk does not contain any provision recognising Kadim tenants.

17/4/23

Rev Secy

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 211 of 1923

Serial No. 32

From, The Dy Govt Advocate
Letter no. 872 D. 16.4.25
states that Neelasundara
L. A. Cases have been
finally adjourned to the 21st
inst for filing any Statements
and that no further ext
can be granted in the
matter.

Govt Advr.

AV 17.4.25

Action may be taken as instructed in the
previous note

17.4.25.

Rec'd

18.4.25.

Rec'd

Draft letter
for advocate
may be put
for approval

42
18.4

Dy Govt Advr.
AV
21.4.

Rec'd

20/4
20.4.25

21/4

Rec'd

OTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 211 of 1923

Serial No.	
33	By the Govt Advocate. Letter no. 1524 Dy 20/4/25. Forwards a copy of Mus. Com's. com^n (Sl. 31) on the subject and states that Mysore L. R. C. is not applicable to the C & M Station, and that Bombay Act I of 1865 which is applicable to the Station, does not contain any provn re Kadir tenancy
2	
~~32~~ 29	From the Govt Advocate; Letter no. 848 Dy 7.4.25. Forwards a Bill for Rs 150/ for his remuneration for professional services rendered & costs before the Collector, C & M Station.

Submitted for orders

WR
27.4.

Orders for

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 211 of 192 .

Serial No. 34(a)

From, The Govt Advocate

Memo no. 943 Dy 28.5.25.

Forwards copy of his Cmn No 21 to the Muz. Cmr. stating that the statements of objection have been filed in court on the 27th instant and that the cases are posted for hearing to the 9th July 25, and requesting him to send the documents to be filed in the Court.

Submit. A copy of the letter has been forwarded here for information. The M.C. will take the necessary steps in the matter

30.5.25.

30/5/25

Bring up

30.5.25.

DSA. Submit as directed.

1.6.25.

for appl

1/6/25

Re-heard. Reply is pending not necessary - In pursuance

6/6/25

6/6 1925

mailed upon The Assistant Secretary

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561 - G1[illegible] 3750 - 8/22.

Svo.

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. | of 192

Serial No 35

From, The Govt Advocate
Letter no. 26 dt 8/7/25

Gives it as his opinion that there is not much use in proceeding with the litigation, and proposes terms of settlement for acceptance, and adds that he is trying to further enhance the amount proposed by the claimants, but he is not quite hopeful.

The Govt Advocate's letter explains the facts of the case.

Submitted. Orders are solicited in the recommendation of the Govt Advocate.

AM
9.7.25.

Sd/- [illegible]
for Secretary in the matter of the
with the Board.
Sd/- [illegible]
for Secretary
in the Govt.
Sd/- [illegible]

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat	Muz. File No.	of 192

Serial No.

Draft for approval
11.7.75.

Rec'd Dy. Secy. Draft received for approval and submitted. The file may be submitted to Hon'ble Minister for Devasthanams for orders.
13.7.
13.7.75.

Typed D/O for Minister.
AV 13.7.75 RL 13.7.75

13.7.75

Rec'd Dy. Secy.

RS 13.7.75.

316/13.7

Rec'd 13.7.

Rec'd

13.7.

The file is getting 36 pages. Please record these proper and move the C.M.S. for further instructions.
To. The Commissioner, Hindu Religious & Charitable Endowments, Madras.
No 75-7 & 15.7.
Accepted
Ebby 17-7-75

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 211 of 1923.

Serial No. 37

From, The Govt. Advocate

Memo no. 55 dt 23.7.1925.

Forwards copy of his Circ:
to The Muzrai Commr. & encl. of
that Compromise has reported
to The Court accordingly o
14th July 1925, when the cases
came on for hearing and orders
were passed in the cases accordi.

The Hon. Court has forwarded
copies of the Razinamas filed in
Court, showing the details as to
the amounts awarded by the
L.D. officer, and the amounts
now made over to the temple.

Out of a sum of Rs 2664-8-0
awarded as compensation in mis
D/2 of 1925, Mr. Parappa (Trustee)
agreed to pay Rs 950/ to the
temple, and out of a sum of Rs
awarded as compensation
Chinna Samy Mudaly

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0501—GPB—3750—1-8 22

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. | of 192

Serial No

has agreed to pay Rs
to the temple -

Secretary.
AV
25.7.25.

Rev-Secy
[illegible]

742/307

DO. S.O. [illegible]

38 To. Mgr. Comm. [illegible]
No. [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561-GPB-3750—1 8 22

F.U.

27404

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE

Bangalore...16th. December. 1924.

17 DEC 1924 MYSORE

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, BANGALORE.

In continuation of my letter No.491 dated the 26th November 1924, I have the honour to state that I appeared before the Collector Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, this day, and filed 3 separate statements in the cases relating to the acquisition of Survey Nos.104 to 108 and 110 to 112 in Inam Neelasandra Village endowed to Sri Dharmaraya Swami Temple.

I requested the Collector to give me time to adduce such evidence as might be available, on the question of the market value of the lands, but he observed that as investigations had already been made about the market value by his predecessor, he was not prepared give any more time. I had therefore to address my arguments on th other points involved and he has now promised to consider all the points urged and to pass orders i.e to make his awards on the 18th instant.

I shall write to you again after orders are passed in these cases.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your mos obedient servant,

K. Shankaranarayana Rao
Government Advocate.

The Cr. files have been
to the Govt. Advocate. This
papers for kind perusal. His
comts may be awaited.
18.12.24.

Secy/Rev
2.1.25.

Dear Sir,

Your letter No.C.340/23-24 of even date regarding the engagement of the Government Advocate to appear on behalf of the Sri Dharmarayanwami temple in a land acquisition case which comes on for hearing before the Collector, Civil and Military Station to-morrow reached me at 5.20 p.m. As it is not possible to obtain orders of Government on the matter, I am to request you to be so good as to instruct the Amildar to move for a short adjournment. Separate orders will be issued as regards the proposals contained in your letter under reference.

Yours sincerely,

K. Chandy, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Memo

No 41

Copy to Dy. B

As Rev. Please see the Mrs
Orders & work up file

The file has come from the Govt. Secretariat
with papers kept by Secretariat Member

Immediate

No. C 340/23-24 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 11th November 1924.

IMMEDIATE.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. 340 of 23-24 dated the 8th February 1924 submitting the entire correspondence in original in re the compensation claimed on behalf of the Sri Dharmarayaswami temple for some lands of the Inam Neelasandra village acquired by the Civil and Military authorities I have the honor to submit herewith letter No. 1197 of 24-25 dated the 8th November 1924 with enclosures in original received from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District reporting that the Civil and Military authorities have issued another notice under Section 4 (1) of the Land Acquisition Regulation for the acquisition of two survey numbers of the same village for the extension of Shoolay Tank channel and Ookadapalyam House Scheme and that compensation as noted in the margin has also to be claimed, that the case is posted for hearing before the Collector on the 12th November 1924 that the Amildar of Bangalore Taluk has been directed to appear before the Collector and claim the compensation and requesting that the services of the Government Advocate may be engaged to represent the Inamdar (temple).

1. Special fine at Rs.1000 per acre on 4 acres and 30 guntas	4750-0-0
2. Capitalised value of land revenue at 25 times the assessment.	382-10-0
3. Statutory allowance for compulsory acquisition at 15% on the capatilized value.	57-6-0
4. Rent in arrears to be eventually ascertained and claimed.	

In these circumstances I beg to request that early orders of Government may kindly be obtained in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. Chandy

.MUZRAI COMMISSIONER.

C.360 of 23-24.
10.4.24

From-

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Inspector Commissioner
in Mysore,
Bangalore

Dated 7-11-1924.

Despatched 8-11-24.

Received 10/11/24. 3.45 pm
Signed by
General No. OC. 1197/24-25

Encl. two bills

Immediate

Re: acquisition of S.Nos. 104, 105, 106, 108, 110, 111 & 112 of Inam Veerasandra village, belonging to Sri Inam Rajaswami Temple [illegible]
[illegible].

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

No. Dr. 1197 of 24-25 (one two files)

Dated Bangalore, 7th November 1924

From

D. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

F. Chandy, Esq., B.A.,

Inzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your Office Memo No.J.340 of 1923-24 dated 8th February 1924 forwarding a copy of your letter No.J.340 dated 8th February 1924 to the Revenue Secretary, re the acquisition of survey Nos.104,105, 106,108,110,111 and 112 of Inam Neelasandra village belonging to Sri -- DharmarayaSwami temple, a major Inzrai institution at Ulsoornet, Bangalore City, I have the honor to forward herewith the marginally* noted records and to state that the Civil and Military station authorities have issued another notice under section 4(1) of the Land Acquisition Regulation for the acquisition of the marginally (a) noted two survey Nos.of Neelasandra village for the extension of Shoolay Tank Channel and Sokadnalvam House scheme.

	A.	G.	
S.No.7 Dry	0-	22	4-8-0
wet	0-	7	
(a)S.No.107 Dry	3 -	7	9-8-0
Wet	0 -	35	
PhutKarab	0 -	2	

As already mentioned in my letter No. J.J.1140 of 1922-23 dated 12th December 1923 special fine at Rs.1000/- per acre along with the capitalized value at 25 times the assessment and the statutory allowance for compulsory acquisition at 15% and the rent in arrears as detailed in the margin (b) have to be claimed in the present case as well. The

(b)

1. Special fine at Rs.1000/- per acre on 4 acres and 30 guntas 4750-0-0
2. Capitalised value of land revenue at 25 times the assessment 382-10-0
3. Statutory allowance for compulsory acquisition at 15% on the capitalized value 57-6-0
4. Rent in arrears to be eventually ascertained and claimed

above said acquisition case is posted for hearing before the Collector Civil and Military station on 12th November 1924. Under these circumstances

* 1.Records ending with Bangalore S.O.No's.J.J.230 of 24-25 dated 9-10-24 -do- J.J.260 of 1924-25 dated 31st October 1924.
2.

circumstances I request you to be so good as to authorise the services of the Government Advocate being engaged to represent the Tahsildar(Remit) before the Collector Civil and Military Station. The case being one of importance I am suggesting that the Government Advocate may be engaged. The Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk, has, however, been directed to appear before the Collector on the 12th instant and claim the compensation as mentioned above.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

C 340/23-24.
15-11-24

L. A. Ref:16/48-1923 Office of the Collector,
C & M. Station, Bangalore,

Dated 13th November 1924.

Dear Sir,

Land acquisition- S.No. 107, Nilsandra & S.No.7 Kuppasandra- Extension of Shoolay Tank Channel and Ookadpaliam house scheme- Your D.O. of 12th November 1924.

I have adjourned the case to 26-11-24 at 1 P.M. as desired. The adjourned date has been intimated in person to the Amildar who attended my court on 12-11-1924.

23104

Yours sincerely,

15 NOV 1924

(Acknowledgment)
C 340/23-24
15-11-24

To

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,
Rev: & Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, B a n g a l o r e.

17 NOV 1924 REV. MUZRAI 8.699

Forwarded, with the compliments of the Revenue & Muzrai Commissioner to his D.O. No. 5 The Govt Advocate may kindly be instructed to attend

P 41
11.11.24

15/11

The Govt file has been sent to the Secretariat.

17.11.24

17/11

Rev. Secy. I don't think this case requires this Court to be present in person. The Govt Advocate can watch the proceedings on behalf of Govt.

-Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be cipoed, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Please put up that file

18/11

18.11.14.

Both are linked together

Submitted with Memo, as

the Member wanted the Memo

letter to be submitted with

the main file

18.11.14.

Rev. Secy

Please send up the

main file - as

has to be taken

18/11

18.11.14.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be gipoed, or slips pasted on this form

2938

No. C340/23 24 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Cue 2.

Dated Bangalore, 21st November 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. 22 NOV

Dno 741

Muz 211-23-7½.

22. NOV. 1924

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office memo No.340 of 23-24 dated the 15th instant, forwarding in original the letter No.16-48-1923 dated the 13th November 1924, from the Collector, Civil and Military Station, stating that the land acquisition case on behalf of the Sri Dharmaraya swami temple has been adjourned to 26th instant etc., I have the honor to forward herewith in original letters Nos.1140 and 1197 dated the 18th November 1924, received from the Deputy Commissioner, stating that S.No.7 proposed to be acquired does not belong to Neelasandra Village and as such it may be omitted and that claim for compensation in ---- respect of S.No.107 only may be preferred and to request that orders of Government to engage the services of the Government Advocate and other kindred matters may be passed early as the case has been posted for the 26th instant.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSd.

The connected file is before the members. This will be submitted on Tuesday

Submitted 22.11.24.

22.11.24

2nd Member

C.360 of 23-24.
14/11/24.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

No. [illegible] 1197 & 24-25 Dated Bangalore, 15th November 1924.

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

K. Chandy, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Revenue Secretary's Memo No.42 dated 11th November 1924 forwarding a copy of his D.O.letter No.P.41 dated 11th November 1924 addressed to you re the acquisition of certain lands of Neelasandra village by the Civil and Military authorities, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk, reports that he appeared before the Collector on 12th instant and that the case was -- adjourned to 26th instant on the requisition received in the court from your office.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

The Commissioner
Mysore, Bangalore

Dated 15-11-46

Received

Rev. No. 11417 of 46-47

Rev. No.

Re: acquisition of lands of Nagadasandra village, Yeshwanthpur Hobli and Malleshwaram Municipality.

C. 3140 of 23-24
19-11-24

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To The Mysore Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore

Dated 18-11-24.

Despatched 18-11-24.

Received 19/11/24 FB

General no. [illegible] 19/11/24

Enc. nos. —

Re: acquisition of certain lands at K. Chandra, an inam village belonging to Sri Dharmaraya Swami Temple.

U R G E N T.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 18th November 1924.

No. [illegible] 1140

From

S. Venkatarangam, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Senior Assistant Commissioner
in charge Bangalore District.

To

K. Chandy, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.C.1197 of 1924-25 dated 8th November 1924 re the acquisition of certain lands at Neelasandra an Inam village belonging to Sri DharmarayaSwami temple by the Civil and Military Station authorities, I have the honor to state that the Bangalore Taluk Amildar reports in his No.286 dated 14th November 1924 that survey No. 7 mentioned in the above said letter does not belong to Neelasandra village and is not an endowment to Sri Dharmarayaswami temple and as such it may be omitted.

The claim on behalf of temple may be preferred in respect of survey No.107 only of Neelasandra village.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S Venkatarangam

Senior Assistant Commissioner
in charge Bangalore District.

S.V.

C 340 of 24-25

Wright

O.C. 1197/24-25

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore, dated, 21st November 1924.

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esqr., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

K. Chandy, Esqr., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No.O.C. 1197 of 24-25 dated 17th November 1924 re the acquisitin of certain lands at Neelasandra by the Civil and Military Station authorities, I have the honour to state that the Collector of Civil and Military Station has intimated that the acquisition cases relating to S. Nos. 104, 105, 106, 108, 110 and 112 and also S.No.111 stand posted to 26th November ~~at~~ 1924 at 1 P.M. and that I should appear before him on behalf of the Inamdar Temple. As already requested in my letter No. O.C. 1197 of 24-25 dated 8th November 1924, the Government Advocate may be asked to represent the Government on behalf of the Inamdar Temple on the date of hearing before the Collector.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muz

File No. 211-23

Serial No. 9

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192

No. 746

Date of issue 24-11-24

To

The Govt Advocate

Signed by Sm R.V. Ananda

Vannan

Sir,

I am directed to state that the case relating to the acquisition by the Central Station authorities, Matara, of certain lands in Weela-Sandra Village endowed to Sri Dharmaraja Swami temple at Bandaram is adjourned for hearing on the 26th inst before the Magistrate of Matara.

over.

and to request that
you will be so good as
to appear before the
Collector on the said date,
and conduct the case
on behalf of the Mandsaur
Temple and intimate the
result in due course.

I am to add that
you may wait upon
the Hon. Members of Council
or see the undersigned
in the course of the day
and take instructions
required in the case.

I have &c.

W. 11.24. Secretary.

24.11.24

Copy to the Insp. Genl with ref. to Correspondence ending with his letter no. C. 340 of 23-24 dt 22-11-1924.

The Mandsaur Bangalee Talook Temple, to be called to be present on the 26th inst. at 10 A.M. so that he may be questioned

W. 24.11.24. Secretary

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—3,560—27-3-22

In reply please refer to
No. 421

Tel Address—
"JUDGE," Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE

Bangalore 26th November 1924.

FROM

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

27 NOV 1924

26. NOV. 1924

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, BANGALORE.

SIR,

In pursuance of your communication No.746/Muz.211-23-9 dated the 24th November 1924, I have the honour to state that I appeared before the Collector, C. & M. Station, Bangalore, this day, and got the Land Acquisition Cases adjourned to the 16th December 1924 to enable me to file my objections and go on with the further proceedings therein.

As I want to go through all the files relating to the acquisition of lands in the Neelasandra Village, I request you to kindly send them over to me very early.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Government Advocate.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT..... ....

.. ..

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to ____ ___

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

Date of issue 3-12-24

Signed by Mrs T. Ramiaya
Fg-D.

Section Survey.

File No. 211-23

Serial No. 11

No. 829 Secretary - Public Survey Dept

To The Surveyor

Sir,

As requested in your letter no. 491 of 26.11.24, I am directed to forward herewith as per list attached, the files relating to the acquisition of lands in the inam Nellasandra Village endowed for Sri Dharmaraya Swami Temple at Bangalore, and to request that the result of the case before the Collector of Station may be intimated in due course.

I have etc.

Asst. Secretary.

3/12/24

Dft. submitted as ordered. The notes in M.F. 211-23 (8.8-10)

3.12.24

3.12.24.

An acknowledgment of the receipt of this file is requested.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7-22

No. C 340/23-24 enc 3

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 31st December 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.



To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Dw 1089

Sir,

Mwz 211-23

Adverting to your memo No.747 dated 24th November 1924 forwarding a copy of your letter No.746/M.F.211-23-9 dated the 24th November 1924 addressed to the Government Advocate in Mysore requesting him to appear before the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore to conduct the case in re the acquisition by the Civil and Military --- Station authorities of certain lands in Inam Neelasandra village ---- endowed to the Sri Dharmaroyaswamy temple at Bangalore, I have the -- honor to submit herewith copies of the award statements with memo Nos. 1358/33-32 (i) and (ii) of 20th December 1924 and L.A.16/48-23 of the same date received from the Collector Civil and Military Station in respect of (i) S.Nos.104,105,106, 108, 110 and 112 Nilasandra (ii) S.No.111 (part), Nilasandra and (iii) S.No.107 Nilasandra respectively The amount awarded in respect of (1) will be accepted under protest and remitted to the Treasury in favour of the institution. With regard to items (2) and (3) the amounts have been ordered by the awarding -- officer to be kept in deposit in Court under Section 31(2) of the Act owing to the dispute as to the apportionment of compensation between the tenant and the Inamdar. Further action will be taken after --- getting the proposals of the Government Advocate and the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

The connected files are before the Govt. Advt. may furnish reports to be awaited.
KSR
3.4.25

3.4.25 81/25

The amt in respect of (1) may be
accepted under protest and the
Govt Advocate consulted in
the matter of refund to the Civil
Court-

3.1.25

Rev Secy)
II (M)

5/1

5.1.25.

73/
5.1

This may be done by
the Stamp Vendor along with the case

1.9.1

2

6.1.25

a/c 6/1

The connected files were
sent to Govt Advocate
under cover of this office
Cmte no. 529 dt 3.12.24.
May their return be awaited?

Submr

7.1.25. 7.1.25

7.1.25.

Rev-Secy. Please ask
the Govt

8.1.25

8/1 DSP. Submr

9.1.25. 9.1.25

Issue

9.1.25.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section

File No

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the *192* .

No. 1010

Date of issue 10.1.25 — To

Signed by Sd T.R. The Govt Advocate

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will kindly have the goodness) be so good as to call for all the files relating to the acquisition of lands in Kaikondrahalli village Bangalore Taluk & Dharmaraya Swamy temple at Bangalore, Sent with the office no. 829-8 dated 30-12-24 over.

(to be returned with the sent back papers after reference)

3-1-25

WM 10/1

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7-22

In reply please refer to
No. 614 ...
Re: Seven Files, Two Books & Survey Records
JURIST, Bangalore

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE

Bangalore...14th January.... *192* 5.

20728

Dno 1170

FROM

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ.,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

15 JAN 1925

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 746/Muz. 211-23-9 dated the 24th November 1924, authorizing me to appear before the Collector, Civil & Military Station, in the Neelasandra Land Acquisition Cases, and in continuation of my letter No. 535 dated 16th December 1924, I have the honour to state that the Collector has now passed awards in all the three land acquisition cases in question, copies of which appear to have been already sent to you by the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

On the last date of hearing, Viz., the 16th ultimo, I appeared before the Collector, filed statements in all the three cases claiming compensation at the rate of 4 annas per square yard of the lands which are the subject matter of the acquisition proceedings together with the 15% Statutory allowance thereon, and also argued the matter contending that the tenure under which the ryots held lands in the Neelasandra Village could not be presumed to be "Kadeem tenancy" and urged that the burden of establishing such a plea lay on the ryots concerned.

The Collector has now made a reference to the Court in two cases and has allowed 25 times the assessment together with the Statutory allowance of 15% in the 3rd case, allowing in the two former cases only Rs.500/- to Rs.600/- as the market value per acre.

The question for consideration is what is the nature of the further action to be taken in these three cases.

From the information I was able to gather from the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluq, and the materials forthcoming in the several files sent to me, I am inclined to the view that we have to concede that the ryots have been in possession of the lands from a very long time paying probably certain fixed sums as cash rent and being an inam village the courts usually presume, under such circumstances, that the tenure is permanent.

The old accounts relating to the collection of rents etc., are not forthcoming and it will, in my opinion, be very difficult if not impossible to secure any independent evidence to show that these are cases of tenancy at will or from year to year. The fact that Survey operations have been recently held and pattas have been granted to the villagers and only assessment on the lands is being collected on behalf of the Inamdar temple, has rendered the position of the Inamdar still more difficult. The inhabitants of the village or of the neighbouring villages are not likely to render the Inamdar temple any assistance in elucidating any facts in support of the contention we have now put forward before the Collector, Civil & Military Station, and it is also difficult to say if we can establish that the market value of the property is anything more than what has now been awarded.

In the two cases in which a reference has already been made, the Inamdar temple will have to be represented before the District Judge, Civil & Military Station, and the question is whether any objection

should

should also be filed before the Collector to the rate of - compensation awarded as we have already tentatively claimed a rate of 4 annas per square yard, and a further reference asked for.

In the 3rd case Viz., that relating to Survey Nos. 104; 105; 106; 108; 110 and 112, in which only 2o times the assessment and 15% allowance has been allowed, the question for consideration is whether it is necessary to file objections claiming to be paid the market value of the land also - as the rate of 4 annas per square yard as has already been done in the statement already filed, and to ask for a - reference to the Civil Court.

Further action should depend upon the nature of the evidence that is likely to be forthcoming.

Objections to the awards, if any, have to be filed within 6 weeks from the date of award, viz., on or before the 29th instant.

I am returning herewith all the files received with your letter No.829/Muz. 211-23 dated the 3rd December 1924.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Government Advocate.

CSN.

D. O.
M-61

Government Advocate's Office,
BANGALORE, 28th Jan. 1925.

Dear Mr. Anantaraman,

In the matter of the Neelasandra Land Acquisition Cases on the file of the - Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

.....

May I invite your reference to this office - letter No. 614, dated the 15th January 1925 on the above subject and request you to kindly expedite - despatch of a reply to the same. The time for - filing our objections, if any, to the awards, expires to-morrow, i.e., on the 29th instant, as already intimated to Government, and hence this letter.

Yours sincerely,

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Revenue Secretary to Government.

To

1142

Mus 211-23-14

Eeeethree

28.1.25

The Government Advocate,

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 614 D. 15-3-1925 requesting instructions as to the furth action to be taken in respect of the award the acquisition of lands by C. & M Station authoriti in Neelasandara Inam Village endowed to Sri Dharmrayaswami temple, Bangalore, I am directed to state as follows:-

nos 104, 105, 106, 108, 140 + 112

In regard to the awards relating to S.No. ~~104 etc~~ nos in margin., the amount of compensation awarded may be accepted. As regards the apportionment of the compensation, however further enquiries are being ordered to be made by the D.C. of Bangalore. If the claim of the temple to the value of the land is established, a suit may then be filed against the tenants. Govt have in amount received by them for the Deputy Commissioner A. A. Govt.

As regards the other two cases the amount of compensation awarded may be accepted ~~but~~ The claim of the tenants to any part of it will have to be resisted. and ~~It is hoped that you will take necessary~~ action taken to put forth the claims of the temple in this respect. The result of the D.C.'s enquiries about the tenants ~~will be~~ intimated to you ~~for necessary action~~ in our Court. An adjournment may be obtained from the Court if necessary so as to secure sufficient time for the enquiries.

pending negotiations which are with the

An

Copies of awards received from the Asst Court are herewith for records reference

I have etc.,

28/1

28/1/25

Rev, Secretary.

28-1-25

No. C 340/23-24 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
encone

Dated Bangalore, 28th/29th January 1925.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GEN'L 30 JAN 1925

To

Muz. 211-23-11

The Government Advocate in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.340 of 23-24 dated the 28th instant re Neelasandra Land Acquisition cases, I have the honor to state that Mr. B. Vasudeva Murti Advocate Bangalore has written to say that he has requested you to consider the proposal advanced by Mr. Parappa his client in respect of the settlement of the dispute of the apportionment between himself and the ----- Inamdar temple of the compensation amount awarded for S.No.107 of the temple lands acquired by the Civil and Military Station ----- authorities and to request to be favoured very early with your -- views in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Sd.) K. Chandy,
Muzrai Commissioner.

Muz. KSR. D SEC.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the -- Secretary to Government Revenue Department for kind information. A copy of the Advocate's letter is also enclosed.

K. Chandy
Muzrai Commissioner.

30/1/25 KSR.

The connected file is before the members for with a W.P. to the Muz. Comr. His return may be awaited.
AV 30.1.25.

The Muz. Cmte has been asked to report, in this case whether the tenants are permanent tenants or not. & the question of the compromise now offered by the owner of S. no. 164 is one for consideration on receipt of that report.

The Obs. & the Muz. Cmte. is now under time and after that, This line be brought on the Office note & submitted.

AV 31-1-25 BG 31.1.25

Yrs. do so Lowis

2.2.25

AV 2/2/25

Letter No.143 dated 27th January 1925 from B. Vesudevamurthi B.A., L.L.B., Advocate, 75, Chickpet, Bangalore City to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"Ref. In the matter of the acquisition of land in the Nilasandra village of extension of the shooly tank channel & Cokadpalyam house scheme. (Claimant:-- Mr. R. Parappa, Contractor, Ø 4(A) Lalbagh --- Road, Bangalore City.

Your are aware that S.No.107 measuring 4 acres & 1 gunta was acquired recently by the Collector, C & M Station, and as there was a dispute as to the apportionment of the compensation between my client Mr. R. Parappa who is in possession and enjoyment of the land and the 'Inamdar" i.e., the Sri Dharmaroua Swamy temple (a Muzrai Institution) the Collector has referred the matter under Section 31 (2) of the Land Acquisition Act to the Court of the District Judge, C & M. Station, Bangalore.

My client desires me to approach you with a view to see if the matter cannot be settled outside court. As a loyal subject of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore and having in his mind the welfare of the institution which styles itself the "Inamdar" of theland my client is not anxious to go on with a long protracted and tedious litigation in the law courts and will be glad if you can see your way to consent to some reasonable settlement.

My client's father purchased the land so far back as 5-9-95 by registered deed and has every since then been in possession and -- enjoyment of the land paying a fixed kandayam of about Rs.12/- per annum to Government and recognizing no body else's superior rights. He has always been accepted as the full and unqualified owner of the land and both by title and long-standing possession his ownership of the land is, he submits unassailable. He would strictly therefore be entitled to the whole of the compensation payable for the land.

He has however no objection to pay the department Rs.200/- either in cash or by ~~right~~ giving 6½% Mysore Government State Loan Bonds to -- assure the realization every year of the interest equal in amount to the assessment payable to Government. This, my client submits is practicall

what

what the "Inamdar", whose existence and rights my client has never admitted or accepted, is only entitled to in any case, He could never have claimed anything more than the assessment which was --- permanently fixed from my client and the fact that the land is acquired could not improve his possession.

Under these circumstances my client requests the favourable consideration by you of his offer.

I would be glad to see you in person and show you my client's title deeds if you so desire. I have also sent a copy of this letter to the Government Advocate and written to him to consider these ---- proposals. I request you will let me have your views early.

Thanking you in advance."

"C o p y"

Muzrai Commissioner. 28/1/25.

1167. Encls. 181 pieces.
Muz 211-23-17.

D. F. N.

To

The Muz. Commissioner,

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending wit your letter No. C. 340 dated 2nd January 1925 regarding the award for the acquisition of lands by C & M Station authorities in Neelasandra Inam Village endowed to Dharmarayaswami temple Bangalore, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the letter addressed to the Govt. Advocate and to state that in his letter No. C.C. 1140 dated 16th May 1923 to the Collector, C & M Station, the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore, has stated that the tenants in question are kadim tenants while in his subsequent communication No. 2371 dated 23rd June 1924 addressed to you, he says that "there is nothing to show that they are kadim tenants" No explanation is forthcoming for these conflicting statements. I am to observe, however, that 'Kadim tenancy' is peculiar to the Mysore Land Revenue Code and that as this Code does not apply to the C & M Station, there is no question of Kadim tenancy. The ryots can at best claim rights of permanent tenancy. I am to request you to instruct the Deputy Commissioner to have a thorough and searching enquiry held ~~accordingly~~ either by himself or by the Sub Division Officer ~~in person~~ regarding the permanency or otherwise of the tenancy ~~ryots~~ rights of the occupants of the S. Nos. acquired and to submit a report of

under Bombay Act I of 1865

result to Govt. before the 16th February next.

2. ~~Xxxxxxx~~ The enclosures are returned for reference and re submission.

I have etc.,

30/1/25 30/1

Rev. Secretary.

BG
30/1/25

Copy forwarded, with compts to the Govt. Advocate, in continuation of this Office No 1142-Mus-22 11-23-15 dated 28.1.25

No. 1168 d. 30.1.25

30/1/25 30.

Rev - Secy

obtained informally
from the Swiss Broadcasting
Corp.

TH
4.1.23

A w a r d.

Land acquisition - Extension of Shoolay Tank Channel and -- Ookadpolyam house scheme - Survey No.107, Nilsandra.

I find that the land named above is situated in the inam -- village of Nilsandra endowed to Sri Dharmaroyaswamy temple. I find that the area to be acquired is 4 acres and 1 gunta. I estimate the total compensation at Rs.2664-6-0 as shown below:--

Compensation for land at Rs.500/- per acre.	2012-8-0	
15% allowance for compulsory acquisition.	301-14-0	
	2314-6-0	
Compensation payable to the inamdar for loss of revenue including the 15% allowance.	350-0-0	
Total.		2664-6-0

I find that the land is in the occupation of R.Parappa. He states that the inamdar has no right to the land and that he is entitled to the entire compensation. The inamdar, on the other hand, disputes this claim and contends that he is the sole ------ proprietor of the land and that the tenant is not entitled to any substantial portion of the compensation. As there is a dispute as to the apportionment of compensation between the inamdar and the occupant, the amount awarded will be deposited in Court under ---- Section 31 (2) of the Act.

(Sd.) T.B. Russell,
18-12-24.
Collector.

No.L.A.16/48-23

Copy ø to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore on behalf of the Inamdar Sree Dharmaroyaswami Temple, represented by Mr. K. Shankara Narayana Rao, for information.

(Sd.) T. B. Russell,
Collector.

"Copy"

KSR. For Muzrai Commissioner.

SUBJECT .....

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Date of issue 6.2.25

Signed by Mr TR

Section

File No

Serial No

No 1147.

To The Hon'ble Commr
in Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with this office letter no. 1142/Mys 21-23 dt 28.1.25 conveying instructions in the matter of the further action to be taken in respect of the award for the acquisition of lands by Govt Station authorities in Neelasandra Inam Village endowed to Sri Dharmaraya Swamy at Bangalore, I am directed to observe that Re S. No. ~~[illegible]~~ 10/

Neelasandra, the L.A. Officer
has awarded not only acreage
compensation for land acquired
with the allowance for compulsory
acquisition, but also compensation
to the mandar temple for loss
of revenue, viz: and to request
specific information as to whether
the whole amount including
the compensation to the mandar
temple, has been ordered to be
kept in court, owing to dispute between the tenant and the purchaser,
of the L.A. Act II as there is
no clear information on the
point in your previous
comm No. 614 dt 18-1-525
on the subject.

I have etc

Sd/- Res Secretary

Dft/-

33543

In reply please refer to No. 695.
Tel Address "JURIST" Bangalore

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE

Bangalore 4th February 1925.

FROM

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

GEN. & REV. DEPARTMENT
6-FEB 1925
MUZRAI
D. 1303

Muz. 211-23-14

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, BANGALORE.

SIR,

In the Matter of the Neelasandra Land Acquisition Cases, before the Collector, C.&.M.Station, Bangalore.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.1142/Muz.211-23-14 dated 28th January 1925, on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith, for the information and orders of Government, a copy of letter No.144 dated 27th January 1925, and a copy of the enclosure thereto, received from Mr. B. Vasudeva Murthi, Advocate, Bangalore City, offering certain terms of compromise, on behalf of his client Mr. R. Parappa, Contractor, 4(a) Lal Bagh Road, Claimant in the Land Acquisition Case relating to Survey No.107 of the Neelasandra Village.

The amount offered by him is, in my opinion, far too inadequate, being Rs.200/- only as against Rs.2314-6-0 awarded as compensation.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Government Advocate.

CSN.

Copy of letter No.144 dated 27th January 1925, from Mr. B. Vasudeva Murthi, B.A., LL.B., Advocate, Bangalore City, to the Government Advocate in Mysore, Bangalore.

Without Prejudice.

"In the matter of the acquisition of land in the Nilasandra Village of expansion of the Shoolay-tank-channel and Ookadapalyam house Scheme. Claimant:- Mr. R. Parappa, Contractor, 4(a) Lal Bagh Road.

I am sending you herewith copy of a letter written by me on behalf of Mr. R. Parappa, Contractor, 4(A) Lalbagh Road, Bangalore City, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore. The same speaks for itself and may I request your favourable consideration of the proposals embodied therein.

Thanking you in anticipation of an early reply".

Government Advocate.

CSN.

WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

Ref: In the matter of the acquisition of land in the Nilsandra Village of extension of the Shoolay tank channel & Ookadpalyam house scheme. (Claimant:- Mr. R. Parappa, Contractor, 4 (A) Lalbagh Road, Bangalore City.

You are aware that S.No.107 measuring 4 acres and 1 gunta was acquired recently by the Collector, C.&.M.Station, and as there was a dispute as to the apportionment of the compensation between my client Mr. R.Parappa who is in possession and enjoyment of the land and the Inamdar i.e., the Sri Dharmaroya Swamy Temple, (a Muzrai Institution) the Collector has referred the matter under Sec.31(2) of the Land Acquisition Act to the Court of the Dist. Judge, C.&.M.Station, Bangalore.

My client desires me to approach you with a view to see if the matter cannot be settled outside Court. As a loyal subject of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore and having in his mind the welfare of the institution which styles itself the "Inamdar" of the land my client is not anxious to go on with a long protracted and tedious litigation in the law Courts and will be glad if you can see your way to consent to some reasonable settlement.

My client's father purchased the land so far back as 5-9-95 by registered deed and has eversince then been in possession & enjoyment of the land paying a fixed kandayam of about Rs.12/-, per annum to Govt. and recognizing no body else's superior rights. He has always been accepted as the full and unqualified owner of the land and both by title and long-standing possession his ownership of the land is, he submits, unassailable. He would strictly, therefore, be entitled to the whole of the compensation payable for the land.

He has however no objection to pay the department Rs.200/- either in cash or by giving 6¼ % Mysore Govt. State Loan Bonds to assure the realization every year of the interest equal in amount to the assessment payable to Govt. This, my client submits is practically what the "Inamdar", whose existence and rights my client has never admitted or accepted, is only entitled to in any case. He could never have claimed any thing more than the assessment which was permanently fixed from my client and the fact that the land is acquired could not improve his position.

Under these circumstances my client requests the favourable consideration by you of his offer.

I would be glad to see you in person and show you my client's title deeds if you so desire. I have also sent a copy of this letter to the Government Advocate and written to him to consider these proposals. I request you will let me have your views early. Thanking you in advance.

"C o p y"

Government Advocate.

CSN.

35191

No. C. 34/23-24 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 14th February 1925.

From



K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalor

Ex. 1364
Aug 2/11-28

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

A.V
19/2

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1197/M.F.211-23 dated th 6th February 1925 enquiring specific information as to whether the amount including the compensation to the Inamdar temple ---- awarded in respect of S.No.107 of Neelasandra village was ordered to be kept in Court owing to dispute between the tenant and the Inamdar under Section 31(2) of the Land Acqn.Act, I have the hor to state that the Collector Civil and Military Station who was written to in the matter states that the whole amount of Rs.2664 6-0 was deposited in Court on 20th December 1924.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

3563G

No. C 340/23-24 one

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore. 20th February 1925.

D. 1376 Muz 21-23-24

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.340 dated the 18th February 1925 stating that the report from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District in re the permanency or otherwise of the tenancy rights of occupants of Survey numbers acquired in Neelasandra village, is awaited, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of his letter No.1197 dated 17th February 1925 and to state that in the circumstances explained time till the end of March current may be given.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
CS Balasundaram
Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.O.C.1197/24-25 dated 17th February 1925 from the - Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to your letter No.C.340 of 1923-24 dated 2nd instant calling for my report in respect of the permanency or otherwise of the tenancy rights of occupants of Survey numbers acquired in the Neelasandra village, I have the honor to state that the Sub-Division Officer, Bangalore, has been asked to hold an enquiry into the matter as early as possible and report. As individual notices have to be served on each tenant it is not possible to complete the enquiry in so short a time. I request that time may be granted -- till the end of March.

Every attempt will be made to comple the enquiry earlier."

"C o p y"

KSR.

Asst Muzrai Commissioner. 20/2/25

35434

No. Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 17th February 1925.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

19 FEB 1925

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

20 FEB 1925

Dn/372

Ag 21-2-25

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1167/M.F.211-23-17 dated the 31st January 1925 calling for a report before the 15th February 1925 in re the permanency or otherwise of the tenancy rights of the occupants of Survey Nos. acquired by the Civil and Military station authorities in Neelasandra village I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District who was written to and reminded on 13th February 1925 hs not yet submitted his report and the same which is awaited will be submitted to Government on its receipt.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Muzrai Commissioner.

K3R.

No. C340/23-24 Dated Bangalore, [illegible]

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, [illegible],

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

The Government Advocate in Mysore,

Bangalore.

4-MAR1925
MUZ.SR

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.340/23-24 dated the 28th January 1925 in regard to filing of objections and claims in respect of the awards passed in Neelasandra Land Acquisition cases by the Collector, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, I have the honor to forward herewith copies received in this office from the District Judges Court Civil & Military Station Bangalore stating that the cases in connection with the acquisition of S.Nos.107 & 111 of Neelasandra village will be heard on the 13th March 1925 and to request you therefore to appear before the said court on the date of hearing and urge the claims of the Institution. Any further information necessary may be obtained from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District and Amildar of Bangalore Taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) C. S. Balasundaram Iyer,
Muzrai Commissioner.

4 MAR. 1925
MUZ.SR.3.
G. D. SEC

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department for kind information in continuation of this office memo No.340 dated 28th January 1925.

C S Balasundaram

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

G R S VI-G 521

150 WD 0527

SUBJECT _____

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 192 .

Date of issue 11-3-28

Signed by Jm-T-R.

Section Mug.
File No 211-23.
Serial No 23.

No. 1321

To The Asst Advocate
Mug. Court
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to letter
No. C.340 Dt 3-3-1925 addressed
to you by the Mug. Court requesting
you to appear before the
Dist Judge, Col M. Stratton Bangr
on the 13th inst and urge
the claim of Dharmaraya
Swamy temple to S nos.
10½ nos 111 a regular by Col.
Stratton and Chanthe s, I am
directed to invite reference
to this office letter No 1162/
Mug 211-23-15 Dt 28-1-25
requesting you to obtain an
adjournment to a

over

Send to obtain the report
of the Dy. Comr, Bangalore,
about the nature of the
tenancy of the ryots of
the said lands, and to state
that, as the Dy. Comr wants
time till the end of this month
to send up his report, you
will be so good as to ask
for an adjournment on the
13th March to which the
case has been fixed,
till about the middle of
April 1925.

I have etc

9/3/25
Dy Rev Secretary.

Copy of the above-cited letter
with ref. to his Cm 2m no
C. 3140 dd 3-3-1925.

1322 of
11-3-25
with a request that
the report of the
Dy Comr promised in his letter no C. 3140/1924-25 dated 20th Feb 1925
may be submitted without fail before the 31st inst.

By order

9/3/25

88
9.3.25

Dy Rev Secretary

No. C 340/23-24 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 20th March 1925.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office memo C.No.340 of 23-24 dated - 3rd March 1925 submitting for information copy of a letter addressed to the Government Advocate regarding the conduct of Nelasandra Land Acquisition cases on the file of the District Judge Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of -- letter No.802 dated 19th March 1925 from the Government Advocate and to request that orders of Government authorising the Government ---- Advocate to appear in the said cases and take all necessary action - may kindly be passed and communicated to me early.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner

(Absent from station.)

KSR.

Letter No.802 dated 18/19th March 1925 from the Government ---- Advocate in Mysore, Pangalore to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to your letter No.C.340/23-24 dated 3rd March 1925 Re: the Neelasandra Land Acquisition Cases on the file of the District - Judge C.&M. Station, Bangalore, I have the honor to request you to kindly obtain the formal orders of Government authorizing me to appear in the - said cases, as desired by you. In the meanwhile, I shall, however, be appearing in Court on your behalf, and take all necessary steps in the case. For this purpose two Vakalats are necessary, and I am therefore enclosing 2 Vakalatnamas for being executed by you. Please sign them at the places marked X in pencil and return the same very early. The --- cases stand posted to the 24th instant."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner. 20/3/25

KSR.

G.R.S. VI G 34]

SUBJECT.... .. ..

....

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to..... . .....

Section

File No

Serial No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue.... 3-4-25

Signed by.....

Read—

Memo dy 3rd March 25 from the Inspr Genl forwarding a copy of his Comm to the Govt Advt in mysore requesting him to appear in the Dist. Judge's Court, C & M Station, Bangalore in respect of the awards passed in Neelasandra L. A. cases by the Collr C & M. Station, Bangalore, and urge the claim of the instn

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon No.

Letter no. C. 346 Dy 2d March 25
from the Insp. Constr in Mysore
forwarding copy of letter
no. 802 Dy 15th March 1925
from the Govt Advocate
stating that as requested by the
Insp. Constr, he will appear in said
Court and take all necessary
action and requesting formal
~~action and~~ sanction of Govt.
authorizing him to appear
in the said cases, and
recommending sanction to
the G. A. representing Govt
in the Court.

Order thereon. No 1438-40 List of enclosures.

dy 3-4-25

Sanctioned In favour of advocate for the Crown
in connection with the proceedings
with reference
~~thereto~~ and thereon

Copied by

Examined by

DOT) Reg Secretary

dy 28/3/25

To the Insp. Constr

WD 1888—GPE—1,000,00—21 12 21

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent rec

In reply please refer to No. 738

Tel. Address:—
"JURIST," Bangalore.

1413 OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore....1st April 1925.....192-

GEN. SECTION 3-APR 1925

FROM

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ., M.A., B.L., 1582
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE
BANGALORE.

GEN. SECTION 2 APR. 1925

To

The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that the Neelasandra Land Acquisition cases (Muzrai) on the file of the - District Judge, C. &. M. Station, Bangalore, are posted for hearing to the 7th April 1925, and our objections will have to be filed on that day. I request you to kindly send me the necessary instructions for the - purpose.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Government Advocate.

Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

W D 1856—GPB—1,50,000—25-21

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

SUBJECT

Section Mag.

File No. 211

Serial No.

Diary No of letter replied to

No. 1446.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

To The Sessions Clerk

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 836 dt 1st inst. stating that Neelakandra A. A. cases on the file of the Dist. Judge, Civil Station, Bangalore, are posted for hearing on the 7th inst. and requesting instructions for the purpose, I am directed to invite ref. to this office cir. No 1321 dt 11.3.25 on the subject, and to request that you will be so kind

Date of issue 4.4.25

Signed by for T R

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Mag. 21-23

as to obtain a/c till about the middle of this month so as to enable Govt to ascertain the nature & the tenancy of the rights of the said temple lands acquired by C & M. Station authorities.

I have etc

3.4.25 (Sd) Rec Secretary

3/4/25

Copy to the Revd Gentn in cont of this Office memo No. 1822 dt 11-3-1925. with a request that the particulars reqd may be sent up so as to reach this Office not later than the 6th inst.

1447 dt 4.4.25

B.V.

3.4.25 (Sd) Rec. Secretary

3/4/25

MoC 36 /23-4

Immediate.
No. Office of the Mysore Commissioner for Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 6th April 1925.

From

C. S. Srinivasa Iyer, B.A., B.L.,

Mysore Commissioner for Coorg,

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Mcorg. 211-23-28.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 837 dated 1st ~~April~~ April 1925 regarding the Neelasandra land ----- acquisition cases and in continuation of Rev.Secretary's letter No. 1446/M.F.211-23-27 dated 4th April 1925 addressed to you I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.C.3/C.C.1197/24-25 dated 4th April 1925 stating that the enquiry has not yet been completed owing to the non-appearance of two of the tenants and to request you to be so good as to obtain as indicated in the Revenue Secretary's letter a further adjournment in the circumstances explained by the -- Deputy Commissioner.

GENL. & REV. SECTION
7 APR 1925
G. D. SECTION

I have the honor to
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Sd.) K. Guru Dutt,
For Mysore Commissioner.

KSR.

No. C 360/22-24

Copy of the above together with a copy of the Deputy Commissioners letter is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government Revenue Department for kind information.

2. The detailed report promised by the Deputy Commissioner will be submitted as soon as received. An urgent reminder has been sent to the Deputy Commissioner.

7/4/25
KSR.

Mysore Commissione

D.O.No.C.3/Oc.1197/24-25 dated 4th April 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

- - - - - -

"With reference to your D.O. of even dated re the submission of a detailed report in respect of the permanency or otherwise of the tenancy rights of certain S.Nos.acquired in the Neelasandra village, I have to inform you that the Sub Division Officer, Bangalore, has not yet been able to complete his enquiry owing to the non-appearance of two of the tenants till now and to request that time may be granted till the 20th of this month as the correct addresses of these men who are said to be residing in C and M Station, have to be ascertained and their presence secured."

"C o p y"

KSR.

For Muzrai Commissioner.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

4021

In reply please refer to No 848

Tel. Address – "JURIST," Bangalore

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore 7th. April 1925

GEN. & R. 8-APR 1925 MYSORE

FROM

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ, M.A., B.L.
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE
BANGALORE

GENL. & R. SEC. 7 APR 1925 G. D. SECTION.

TO

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, BANGALORE.

Sir,

A.V. 8/4

With reference to your letter No.746/Muz.,211-23-9 dated the 24th November 1924, I have the honour to forward herewith, for the sanction of Government, a Bill for Rs.150/- only, being my remuneration for professional services rendered on behalf of Government in the Neelasandra Land Acquisition Cases (Three Cases) before the Collector, C. &. M. Station, Bangalore, (Re: the acquisition of lands belonging to Sri Dharmarayaswami Temple, Bangalore City).

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,
K. Shankaranarayana Rao
Government Advocate.

CSN.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Serial No

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

App.F.VI-G1.]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Date of issue 14.4.25

Signed by Ms T.R.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 1488

To

Sir,

In reply to the letter no. 4464 dt. [illegible] requesting you to obtain an assignment in Nicobar Sandbar [illegible] on the file of the Asst. Superintendent, Port Blair, I am directed to invite reference to letter C.340/10 dt. 9/4/25 addressed to Asst. Superintendent, Port Blair

42121

No. 340 of 23-24 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Exc. 24 file Dated Bangalore, 14th April 1925.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.



To

M. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.



9a-1635

Mug. 211-23-

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with this office memo C.No. 340 of 23-24 dated 6th April 1925 regarding the Neelasandra Land ---- Acquisition cases, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter O.C.No.1197 of 24-25 dated 9th April 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, submitting the records of enquiry held by the --- Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Bangalore Taluk and opining - that as the tenants have not produced any evidence to prove their title to the lands, they are not entitled to be treated as permanent tenants.

2. I am of opinion that, as the matter is now before the civil court for adjudication, the enquiry on the revenue side need not be pursued further and that the entire records submitted herewith may be forwarded to the Government Advocate with such detailed instructions as Government think necessary.

3. The Deputy Commissioner has not furnished any explanation for the conflicting statements made in his letters dated 16th May 1923 and 23rd June 1924 (vide your letter No.1167/M.F.211-23-17 dated 31st January 1925 and I am separately addressing him in the matter. The Deputy ~~Commissioner~~ Commissioner's further reply will be submitted immediately on its ----- receipt.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

In reply please refer to
No. 872

Tel. Address:—
"JURIST," Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore 16th April 1925.

REVENUE SECTT.
Br. ... FROM ...
17 APR. 1925
G. D. SECTION.

H. H. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
17 APR 1925
1662

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ., ~~M.A., B.~~
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
~~BANGALORE.~~

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, BANGALORE.

SIR,

Re: Neelasandra Land Acquisition Cases before the District Judge, C. & M. Station.

-ooo-

With reference to your letter No.1446/Muz.211-23-27 dated 4th April 1925, I have the honour to state that the cases have been finally adjourned to the 27th inst. for filing our statements and no further adjournment can be obtained in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K Shankaranarayana Rao

Government Advocate.

CSN.

B50—WD 0527

G R S. VI–G 32]

SUBJECT _____

_____

_____

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Date of issue 23.4.25

Signed by Ins T. R.

Section

File No

Serial r

No. 1549
three

To The Govt Advocate

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with yours letter No. 872 dd 16-4-25 stating that Neela Sandra Land Acquisition case 3 on the file of the Dg. Judge Colombo have been finally disposed of on the 29th instant for filing our statements etc, I am directed to forward herewith (if needful further action) a copy of letter No. C. 340 dd 14th April 25 from the Muzzai Comm with copies of Comms from the Bungalow Dy Comm and the Sub Divn Officer.

over

Letter no. O.C. 1197 dd 9.4.25

do — 260 dd 7.4.25.

reporting on the result
of the enquiry re the nature
of the tenancy of the 74 acres
of the viyan lands in Medagama
village endowed to Dhammaraja
Vihare Temple at Bangalawa, acquired by Govt. for Station authorities,
and to state that objection
was put forward on the fundamental
ground that the
Mysore L.R. Code is not
applicable to the Colombo
Station, and that Bombay
Act I of 1865 which is
admittedly applicable to
the Station does not contain
any provision recognizing
Kadim tenancy.

I have etc

21.4.25 Sd/- Res. Secretary
24/4/25

Copy to the Mag. Centre No. 1145 d. 23.4.25.
with ref. to his letter No. C. 3160
dt 14-4-25. By order,

14.4.25 Sd/- Res. Secretary
24/4/25

App.F.VI G1.]

SUBJECT ....

Section Hug
File No. 211 - 23
Seria No. 360

Diary No of letter replied to

No. 1645
ours

To The ~~Government~~ Govt Agent

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* *192*

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 848 dated 7th April 1925 submitting bill for Rs 150/- being remuneration for professional services in connection with Nilambe land acquisition cases before the Civil Court, Kandy I am directed ~~to state~~ that the ~~case is not closed yet~~ to request that

Date of issue 9.5.25

Signed by [illegible] R

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

for the State and
case may be ordered the
after the case is closed
and a final judgt is
pronounced, of which
a copy may be sent up
to the record in Supt.

In the discussion
and with his
contemplation.

Thank you

7-5-25.
Respectfully
By
5-5-25

Copy of the Mary Cases in 1616
9-5-25
Reason for order

SO

7-5-25.
Respectfully
By
5/5/25.

In reply please refer to
No 940

Tel Address —
JURIST, Bangalore

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore 28th May *1925.*

FROM

K SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ, MA, BL,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

To

The Muzrai Commissioner

in Mysore, BANGALORE.

SIR,

Re: Neelasandra Land Acquisition Cases on the file of the District Judge, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore.

-000-

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.340/23-24 dated the 26th May 1925 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the Statements of objections were filed in Court - yesterday and the cases are posted for hearing to the 9th July 1925. All documents to be produced on our behalf have to be filed in Court within two - weeks from the 27th instant and I request you there-

NB - Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions etc, not to be kept for permanent record.]

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

In reply please refer to No. 946 ... 9/25.1
Tel Address "JURIST," Bangalore

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE

Bangalore. 8th .. J..U..L..Y... 1925.

FROM

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ, M A, B.L,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

GEN. 2 9-JUL 1925 MUZRA

Dm. 40

To The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with this office letter No.943 dated the 28th May 1925, regarding the references pending before the District Judge, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, in the matter of compensation awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, in respect of lands belonging to Sri - Dharmarayaswami Temple situated in the Neelasandra village, I have the honour to state that on a careful consideration of all the aspects of the case I am of opinion that there is not much use in proceeding with the litigation.

After the cases were posted for evidence, I had a conversation with the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluq on the question of the nature of the evidence available about the tenancy. He told me that it was not possible to establish that the parties are either tenants at will or tenants from year to year. All the villagers and their neighbours are interested in proving that they have permanent rights of occupancy and now that ejectment suits or rent suits have been filed against most of the ryots, they have all made common cause, and it is practically impossible to secure any evidence in our favour.

The documentary evidence available is in no way better. The papers that have been sent on to me show that the bare assessment

on the lands is being collected from the tenants who have been practically treated all along in much the same way as Khatadar in Government villagers and, what is more, regular pattas have also been issued to most of them.

Under these circumstances, I could think of no alternative but to place all these circumstances before the First Member of Council who was pleased to direct me to see if the matter could be settled amicably. In pursuance of his instructions, I had a discussion with the Advocate for the Claimants in the cases, with the result that after some difficulty the Advocate has succeeded in inducing the parties to forego their claim to the extent of ¼ of the amounts awarded to them. I am attaching hereto a statement working out the several figures and indicating to what extent the temple will be benefitted by the aforesaid arrangement. I am trying to further enhance the amount proposed by the Claimants; but I am not quite hopeful.

Under the circumstances, I am of opinion that the terms suggested above are fair and I request that you may be so good as to place the matter before Government and to communicate to me their orders very early.

The case is coming on for hearing tomorrow; but in view of the negotiations for an amicable settlement, we have decided to apply for and secure a short adjournment.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Government Advocate.

CSN/2.

S T A T E M E N T.

	Name of Party.	Description of Land.	Amount already awarded to temple for loss of Revenue, including 15% allowance.	Compensation awarded to the Landholder, including the 15% allowance.	Amount to be given to temple under the proposed amicable settlement.
1.	Mr. PARAPPA.	S. No.107.	Rs.350/-	Rs.2,314-6-0 (at Rs.500/- per acre).	Rs.578-9-6, (or in Round figures Rs.600/-)
2.	Mr. A. V.CHINNA-SWAMI MUDALIAR.	S. No.111 (Part)	Rs.57-8-0.	Rs.776-4-0. (at Rs.600/- per acre).	Rs.194-1-0 (or in round figures Rs.200/-).

BANGALORE,
8th July, 1925.

Government Advocate

Proceedings of the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.-

G.O. No. 1456-40/Muz.211-26 dated 3-4-1925 according formal sanction authorising the Government Advocate in Mysore to appear in the District Judge's Court, C. M. Station Bangalore, in respect of the awards passed in Neelasandra L.A. cases by the Collector, C & M. Station, Bangalore, and urge the claim of the institution.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 26 dated 8-7-1925 from the Government Advocate in Mysore reporting on a careful consideration of all the aspects of the case, that there is not much use in proceeding with the litigation as sufficient evidence as to the nature of the tenancy is not available and ~~etc~~ suggesting that the case be compromised by accepting the offer of the tenants to surrender to the temple one fourth of the amounts awarded to them by the Land Acquisition Officer. ~~Thexxxxxxxx~~

Order No. 75-7 dated
Muz-211-23-26

The recommendation of the Government Advocate is approved. ~~The Government Advocate~~ is requested to make every effort to secure a larger amount possible.

Revenue Secretary.

To
The Government Advocate in Mysore.
" Muz. Commr. in Mysore.
" Comptroller.

In reply please refer to
No. 54.

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE

Bangalore 23rd JULY 1925

FROM

K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

To

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

Re: Neelasandra Land Acquisition Case Nos. Mis.D/1 & Mis.D/2 of 1925 on the file of the District Judge, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore.

-oOo-

Adverting to Government Order No.75-7/Muz.211-23-36 dated the 15th July 1925, accepting the terms of compromise offered by the tenant in the above cases (Viz., to surrender to the temple one fourth of the amounts awarded to them by the Land Acquisition Officer) I have the honour to state that compromise was reported to the Court accordingly on the 17th July 1925, when the cases came on for hearing, and orders were passed in the cases accordingly.

2. The marginally noted two Cheques one for Rs.950/- (Rupees Nine Hundred and Fifty only) and the other for Rs.257-8-0 (Rupees Two Hundred & Fifty Seven and Annas Eight only) respectively, received from the Court of the District Judge, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, (being the amounts awarded to the Temple in the two Cases are

(1) Cheque No.218 dated 20-7-1925 for Rs.950/- only being the amount awarded to the Inamdar Temple, according to the terms of compromise in Case No. Mis.D/2 of 1925 (Inamdar Temple VS. Parappa).

(2) Cheque No.219 dated 20-7-1925 for Rs.257-8-0 only being the amount awarded to the Inamdar Temple according to the terms of compromise in Case No. Mis.D/1 of 1925 (Inamdar Temple VS. Chinnaswami Mudaliar).

are forwarded herewith, with the request that the receipt of the same may be kindly acknowledged.

3. Copies of the Razinama, filed in Court, showing the details as to the amounts awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer, and the amounts now made over to the Temple, are also forwarded herewith for information.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

04271 Your most obedient servant,

SD/ K. SHANKARANARAYANA RAO.

Government Advocate.

CSN/2.

Memo No. 53 D. Dt: 24-7-25

Dated Bangalore, 23rd July 1925.

Copy of the above (together with copies of the Razinama) is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, for information, with reference to Government Order No.75-7/Muz.211-23-36 dated 15th July 1925.

Government Advocate.

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE, CIVIL & MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE.

Mis. D/2 of 1925.

Inamdar Dharmaraja Swamy Temple by the Kurnai Commissioner in Mysore. — Petitioner.

Parappa. ... Counter Petitioner.

The parties file the following Pursiama and pray that there may be a decree in these terms:-

Out of a sum of Rs.2,664-6-0 in deposit in Court and awarded as compensation in the above case, the sum of Rs.950/- may be paid over to the Petitioner, Inamdar Dharmaraja Swamy Temple by the Kurnai Commissioner in Mysore and the balance of Rs.1714-6-0 to the Counter - Petitioner.

There will be no order as to costs, each party bearing his own.

(SD/- By Advocates.)

BANGALORE,
July 1925.

"Copy"

Government Advocate.

CSN/2.

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE, CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE.

Mis. D/1 of 1925.

Inamdar Dharmaraja Swamy Temple by the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore. ... Petitioner.

Chinnaswamy Audaliar. ... Counter Petitioner.

The parties file the following Razinama and pray that there may be a decree in these terms:-

Out of a sum of Rs.833/12/0 in deposit in Court and awarded as compensation in the above case, the sum of Rs.257-8-0 may be paid over to the Petitioner, Inamdar Dharmaraja Swamy Temple by the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore and the balance of Rs.576-4-0 to the Counter Petitioner.

There will be no order as to costs, each party bearing his own.

BANGALORE,
July 1925. ***** (SD/- By Advocates).

"C o p y"

Government Advocate.

CSN.

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 11 /

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

issue 7-2-25

by ... of Kannada ...

G.O. no. 75-7 Misc. 24 dt 15.7.25 accepting the Advocate's recommendation that in respect of the cases passed in Reclamation cases by the Collector of M. Station, the be compromised by the offer of the lessees to surrender one-... of the annexation ... be taken by ... Sri ... transfer ...

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

ii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

v translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon No.

Letter no. 575 dt 23.7.1925
from the Govt Advocate
forwarding copy of his
Cert. & the Mess Case
Stating that Confirmation
was refused by the Court
accordingly on the 17 July 1925
when the case came
on for hearing, and reasons.
were passed in the cases
accepting the appeal & sending the case to the Supreme Court with
respectively by the Court awarded to the Temple Trees from the
D.C. Judge Court, Colombo
as also copies of papers on
file in the Court

Order 159.11.25

Records

6 & 25

Dft Rec'd Secretary
Rob 5/8/25

the Mess. Case
by Govt Advocate
in Cert.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—30,000—17-3-19

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references. V

1915: 46.
(Edn)

File No. 56-45

Serial Nos. 28 +

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 28 + 29.

Part B for May, 1916

SUBJECT.

Arrears of Pay bills

Serial 29. To the Dy. of Edn
Comptroller
No. 7027-8. d 27.5.16

I sanctions the bills in ques
and forwards the same to the
comptroller for audit.

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—13-12-15

2/6/16